

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,074	09/27/2005	Anders Bergstrom	P17953-US1	6349
27045 ERICSSON IN		10/10/2007 EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		BHATTACHARYA, SAM		
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/551,074	BERGSTROM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Bhattacharya	2617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	eptember 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application	n.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attaches	*(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takano et al. (US 7,103,376).

Regarding claim 12, Takano discloses A method for handover in a communication system, wherein said communication system includes an access network having Radio Network Controllers (RNC), Radio Base Stations (RBS) consisting of main units (MU) which perform base band signal processing, and one or more radio remote units (RRUs) which convert between baseband and radio frequencies and transmits and receives signals over one or more antennas covering cells, and one or several User equipment (UE) moving closer and closer to another cell, which said network is made aware of and then it will initiate a handover process, during which the call will be transferred from one cell to another cell within said radio base station (RBS) or to

Application/Control Number: 10/551,074

Art Unit: 2617

a cell in another Radio base station (RBS) in said communication network, wherein said handover process interact with a memory containing a list (softer handover group) of said radio remote units (RRUs) capable of doing softer handover with each other using the same Rake receiver. See col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 22.

Regarding claim 13, Takano discloses that said handover process is performed according to a selection from said list and said handover is performed according to the rules: If the new cell is within the said list (Softer handover group) as another cell used by the user equipment (UE or phone), a Softer HO is initiated to the RBS as normal. If the new cell is not within the said list (softer handover group) as another cell used by the user equipment (UE or phone), a Soft handover is initiated in the RNC or RBS. See col. 7, lines 24-31.

Regarding claim 14, Takano discloses that said soft handover in the Radio base station (RBS) is a second stage maximum ratio combining or a selection combining with separate Rake receivers. See col. 7, lines 24-31.

Regarding claim 15, Takano discloses that said selection among the two situations can be done with support from Radio Network controller or locally in said Radio base station (RBS).

See col. 7, lines 32-44.

Regarding claim 16, Takano discloses that said list (softer handover group) are made from a user equipment (UE) measured delay. See col. 34-45.

Regarding claim 17, Takano discloses that a reception time difference are used by Radio network controller (RNC) or Radio base station to calculate the relative propagation delay between the new antenna and the user equipment compared to the other active cells. See col. 7, lines 32-44.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 18, Takano discloses that said Radio network controller (RNC) can based on this measurement include the new cell in said list (Softer handover group) or if said Radio network controller (RNC) not is impacted the measurement is forwarded to the Radio base station (RBS) and the RBS makes this decision. See col. 7, lines 34-45.

Regarding claim 19, Takano discloses that artificial delay are stored within said Radio base station (RBS) to accomplish that the two signals from said two antennas are received within the RAKE window so that softer handover can be made. See col. 8, lines 18-43.

Regarding claim 20, Takano discloses that a delay equalisation function makes the digital delay between the receiver/antenna and the RAKE receiver the same for all receivers/antennas. See col. 9, lines 5-23.

Regarding claim 21, Takano discloses that the delay is optimised to maximize the number of successful softer handovers. See col. 9, lines 5-23.

Regarding claim 22, Takano discloses that the delay are determined by evaluating the UE measured delay of a history of successful hand over between the related RRUs. See col. 8, lines 18-43.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kim (US 6,353,603) discloses soft handoff between terminals having different frequencies.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,074 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571) 272-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays, 9-6, with first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sb

My